Serial No.: 10/804,033

Office Action dated June 3, 2008 Amendment dated October 3, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Preliminary Note

Claims 1-18 have been cancelled and new claims 19-37 have been added. These new claims are supported by the specification and drawings as filed. More specifically, the claims are at least supported as follows:

Claim	Supporting Disclosure	Claim	Supporting Disclosure
19	claim 1, para. [00007], Fig. 1	29	as claim 19, plus claim 5
20	as claim 19	30	as claim 19, plus claim 6
21	as claim 20	31	as claim 19, plus claim 8
22	as claim 21	32	as claim 19, plus claim 9, paras.
			[00037] - [00041], Fig. 7
23	as claim 19	33	as claim 32, plus claim 5
24	as claim 19, plus claim 7, paras.	34	as claim 32, plus claim 6
	[00035] & [00036], Fig. 6		
25	as claim 24	35	as claim 32, plus claim 8
26	as claim 19	36	as claim 32, plus para. [00044]
27	as claim 25, plus claim 2	37	as claim 36
28	as claim 27, plus claim 3		

It is respectfully submitted that such new claims overcome or obviate all of the Examiner's rejections to the former claims for at least the reasons which follow. Reconsideration of the application containing these new claims is respectfully requested.

Serial No.: 10/804.033

Office Action dated June 3, 2008

Amendment dated October 3, 2008

Rejections under 35 USC § 112

The Examiner has rejected former claims 1-17 under 35 USC § 112 wherein it was

alleged that the inclusion of certain phraseology caused the claims to be indefinite. It is

noted that pending claims 19-37 do not contain such phraseology, and it is therefore

respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejection has been obviated.

Rejections under 35 USC § 102 and § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 & 15-18 under 35 USC § 102 wherein it was

alleged that the subject matter of the claims was anticipated by United States Patent No.

5,892,507 to Moorby et al. (hereinafter designated as "Moorby"). The Examiner has further

rejected claims 7-14 under 35 USC § 103 wherein it was alleged that the subject matter

of the claims would have been obvious having regard to Moorby in view of United States

Patent No. 7,055,131 to Charisius et. al. (hereinafter designated as "Charisius"). It is

respectfully submitted that pending claims 19-37 define subject matter which is new and

unobvious having regard to both references, for the reasons which follow. In particular, it

is noted that at least new claims 20-26 contain features not previously considered by the

Examiner.

While similar in form, pending claims 19-37 have been written so as to address the

concerns expressed by the Examiner in the Office Action. For example, the pending claims

are directed explicitly to "software processes", rather than "processes" as was formerly

claimed, and recite "software objects" rather than "objects". The terms "software

Page 11 of 14

Serial No.: 10/804.033

Office Action dated June 3, 2008

Amendment dated October 3, 2008

processes" and "software objects" are used in the claims, and in the disclosure, as terms

of art as understood by persons in the art. It is submitted that such narrower terminology

renders the broad interpretation of Moorby made by the Examiner inappropriate in respect

of at least the pending claims.

In particular, it is submitted that Moorby does not teach nor suggest all of the

features recited in pending independent claim 19. Moorby does not teach at least a method

of illustrating a software process wherein all of the elongated object, the compact object,

and the control flow segment respectively representing a software object, an operation

operating on that software object, and the control flow mechanism determining the control

flow of that operation, are contained in a single vertical column defined by the control flow

segment, such vertical column being perpendicular to a horizontally-arranged timeline.

It is at least this orthogonal, column-timeline arrangement required by the claim

which distinguishes the presently-claimed invention from the diagrams taught by Moorby.

It is noted that a consequence of this inventive orthogonal, column-timeline arrangement

is that a portion of each elongated shape representing a software object is placed in each

vertical column containing the representations of any operations and control flow

mechanisms associated with that software object; in other words, the elongated shape

spans the portion of the timeline in which the software object plays a part, and the skilled

reader can made this determination instantly and without analysing the logic of the

software process. As clearly discussed in the disclosure, at paragraph [00008]:

[...] the advantages of the methodology come from examining the software from

multiple starting points and proceeding across orthogonal lines. When examining

Page 12 of 14

Serial No.: 10/804.033

Office Action dated June 3, 2008

Amendment dated October 3, 2008

a figure, a reader can start with a high level understanding of the objects by reading

across their descriptions within the elongated shapes. An understanding of control

flow can proceed across the control flow segments to ensure that control flow is

correct and understood. The detailed evolution of an object can be understood by

looking at operations that modify the object, and tuning out operations that merely

take values. And interactions between objects can also be easily isolated from other

parts of the picture. The operation symbols and mnemonics also permit a high level

understanding of the algorithm without bogging down in details. [emphasis added]

It is by organizing the representations of software objects, the operations which operate

upon them, and the control flow mechanisms which determine the order of operations, in

this orthogonal column-timeline manner that enables a viewer to quickly understand the

logical/temporal interaction between software objects, operations, and control flow

mechanisms without having to work out the logic of the process represented. In contrast,

diagrams like that taught by Moorby require the reader to work out the logic of the

illustrated process in order to determine the scope of participation of any object, and to

identify inter-object operations and control flow. This is reasonably to be expected, as the

systems disclosed in Moorby are not particularly directed to a method for illustrating a

software process, but are rather directed to graphical user interfaces for authoring

multimedia compositions, the desired characteristics of which are not necessarily the

same.

In this regard, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's mapping in the Office

Action of certain features of the former claims with the aspects of Moorby alleged to teach

such features (this being quite appreciated by the Applicant) does not produce the method

Page 13 of 14

Serial No.: 10/804,033

Office Action dated June 3, 2008

Amendment dated October 3, 2008

of pending independent claim 19. Such is at least the case with respect to the Examiner's

mappings with respect to the "objects" formerly claimed, at least as applied to the "software

objects" presently claimed as being represented by an:

[...] elongated shape extending longitudinally in the horizontal direction, the

elongated shape containing text specifying the software object, and further placing

each elongated shape in the diagram so as to be parallel to the timeline and spaced

apart in the vertical direction from the timeline [...]

Inasmuch as new claims 20-37 incorporate all of the features of claim 19, which is

submitted be novel and inventive in view of all of the references cited by the Examiner, it

is submitted that they are also novel and inventive. It is further submitted that the failure

or Moorby to teach all of the features of the pending claims, as noted above, is not

remedied by Charisius.

In view of the foregoing, early favourable consideration of this application is

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Jim A. McAlear

//JR Mueller-Neuhaus//

October 3, 2008

Per:

Jason R. Mueller-Neuhaus

Reg. No.: 60,099 Cassan Maclean 307 Gilmour Street

Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2P 0P7

Telephone: (613) 238-6404

Telecopier: (613) 230-8755